1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REBECCA D. EISEN, SBN 96129 THERESA MAK, SBN 211435 M. MICHAEL COLE, SBN 235538 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1000 Fax: 415.442.1001 CHRISTOPHER A. PARLO, Pro Hac Vice MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178 Telephone: 212.309.6000 Facsimile: 212.309.6273 Attorneys for Defendant METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE	
10	COMPANY	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
1.5 16	JON PAUL SIMS, MICHAEL B. BAGLEY, and JEFFERY A. PFEIFFER, each individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 05 CV 2980 (TEH) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING; [PROPOSED] ORDER
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, vs. METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, inclusive,	The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson
21 22	Defendant.	
23	WHEREAS, there are four motions currently pending before the Honorable Thelton E.	
24	Henderson, to wit: Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Adjudication, Defendant's Motion for	
25	Summary Judgment, Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification, and Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike	
26	Defendant's Counterclaims (the "Motions"); and	
27	WHEREAS, all four of the above described Motions are set for oral argument on January	
28	8, 2007 in the above-entitled Court; and	
	1-SF/7451630.1 STIPULATION AND PROPOSE	1 Case No. 05 CV 2980 (TEH) D ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING

WHEREAS, the parties mediated this matter for an entire day on December 8, 2006, before Michael Dickstein: and WHEREAS, the parties have continued settlement discussions through Mr. Dickstein following the December 8, 2006, mediation; and WHEREAS, on December 22, 2006, Magistrate Judge LaPorte issued an order on Plaintiff's Motion for a Protective Order allowing for depositions of experts whose declarations have been submitted in support of, and in opposition to, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment; and WHEREAS, in light of their continued settlement discussions, the parties believe that a further continuance of the hearing on the above described Motions would best preserve the status quo and allow them to continue to focus their efforts on settling this case; and WHEREAS, Plaintiffs consent to a continuation of this hearing for at least fourteen (14) days and the parties are discussing an agreement for a longer continuation to allow a settlement to be completed; /// /// /// /// /// 1-SF/7451630.1 Case No. 05 CV 2980 (TEH)

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, between the		
parties that the hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Adjudication, Defendant's Motion for		
Summary Judgment, Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification, and Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike		
Counterclaims shall be continued for at least ty	vo (2) weeks to a date most convenient for the	
Court.		
Dated: December 29, 2006	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
	By: Marin Poule/more	
	Christopher A. Parlo Attorneys for Defendant	
	METRÓPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY	
Dated: December <u>29</u> , 2006	EDGAR LAW FIRM	
	By: Jesemy	
	Donald S. Edgar	
	Jeremy R. Fietz Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
IT IS ORDERED THAT THE HEARING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
ADJUDICATION, DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, PLAINTIFFS'		
MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE		
DEFENDANT'S COUNTERCLAIMS BE CONTINUED TO 02/05/07 at 10:00 AM		
T.	SDISTRICA	
January 4, 2007 Dated: December, 2006		
	Hon That AF Henderson	
IT IS	SO ORDERED A	
	dler 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Z Judge	Thelton E. Henderson	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
The state of the s	DISTRICT OF CE	
11		
1-SF/7451630.1 STIPULATION AND PROPOSE	3 Case No. 05 CV 2980 (TEH) D ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING	